

Key Findings from the KCQ – FATE Project Questionnaire

Number of respondents: 6

Date: 19.5.2025

1. Project Involvement

83% of respondents have been involved in the project for more than 6 months.

17% have participated for less than 6 months.

Most feedback reflects long-term engagement in the project.

2. Cooperation Among Project Partners

Statement	Average Score (1–5)
Cooperation is working well	4.3
Roles and division of work are clear	4.2
Cooperation needs to be improved (reverse statement)	3.2
Communication is smooth and uncomplicated	4.2
Information flows consistently	4.2
There is trust and mutual support	4.2

Highlights from open responses:

Strengths: Regular and detailed meetings, strong steering committee work, use of shared digital communication tools, and learning from international colleagues.

Challenges: Differences in terminology, unclear interpretations of adventure education methods, difficulty starting with a remote kick-off, and uneven participation in planning content.

3. Knowledge of Adventure Education

Statement	Average Score (1–5)
I can design and lead adventure-based activities	3.7
I feel confident in managing risks	3.7
I have received sufficient training	3.5
I have opportunities to share experiences with colleagues	4.2

Self-rated understanding of core principles (1–10 scale):

Now: Average 7.7 (median 8.0)
If not participated: Average 5.2 (median 4.5)
Indicates a clear learning impact from the project.

Reported areas of growth:

Risk management, planning meaningful activities, reaching youth through adventure education, use of reflection, and applying methods in both formal and non-formal education.

4. Participation in Training

83% attended the Adventure Education training in Helsinki, December 2024.
17% did not attend.

5. Overall Impact on Professional Development

Average score: 6.7 / 10
Median: 7.5
Scores ranged from 2 to 10, reflecting varying levels of personal impact.

6. Recommendation to Others

Would recommend: 83%
Maybe: 17%
Would not recommend: 0%
Positive feedback included:
Confidence in project impact, strong communication practices, and potential to minimize misunderstandings through regular contact and clarity.